AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

CHP 453G (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER		
Clear Lake Northern		7		
EVALUATED BY		DATE		
Sergeant W. D. H	Holcomb	02/17/2010		

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation				SUSPENSE DATE			
	ow-up] Yes	REQUIRED No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
1. (GENE	RAL		Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а		pes the Area work force co dressed in GO 0.8, Profes	nsist of employees, supervisors ar ssional Values?	nd managers who support	the principles	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are the employees capa	able of performing and maintaining	essential services to the	public?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are upward mobility and	d career development programs an	d training available to inte	rested employees?	✓ Yes	□No
b	. Do	supervisors at all levels a	assume responsibility for the develo	opment and training of the	eir employees?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do supervisors review a	and assess specific training needs v	with employees annually?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Is this review done	in conformance with the departmen	ntal Out-Service Training	Plan?	✓ Yes	□No
С	Do employees assist in their training assessment by helping supervisors identify their strengths and weaknesses?						□No
	(1) Do employees seek information on training opportunities to improve their job performance?						□No
	(2) Do employees initiate their own career development plan?						☑ No
	(3)	Do employees utilize the	e knowledge, skills, and abilities the	ey have acquired through	training?	✓ Yes	□No
2. L	.IEUT	ENANTS (OTHER THAN	COMMANDERS)	No Evaluated	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)).
а	. W	nat are the commander's p	olans for developing Area lieutenan	ts?			
	(1)	Are the plans in writing?				☐ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is there meaningful guid individual career develop	☐Yes	□No			
			oment plans?				
	(3)		oment plans? ork with the lieutenants to structure ribute most to the accomplishment			☐ Yes	□No
	(3)	experience that will cont of the Department?	ork with the lieutenants to structure	of both the lieutenant's c	areer goals and those		□ No
	(3)	experience that will cont of the Department? (a) Do the lieutenants of follow-up reports? (b) Does the command	ork with the lieutenants to structure ribute most to the accomplishment	of both the lieutenant's cused on their assessment	areer goals and those center	☐ Yes	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

(6)	Do	lieutenants' annual evaluations contain comments on the upward mobility?	ir managerial potential a	nd their desires on	☐ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are the lieutenants submitting completed staff work?			Yes	□No
	(c)	Are the lieutenants involved in coordination with other ag	gencies in the criminal ju	stice system?	☐ Yes	□No
	(d)	Are the lieutenants participating in Headquarters career	development assignme	nts?	☐ Yes	□No
Are	e lieu	tenants given freedom to manage their respective operat	ions?		☐ Yes	□No
(1)	Are	the lieutenants effective supervisors?			☐Yes	□No
(2)	Are	the lieutenants developing managerial skills in subordina	ate supervisors?		☐Yes	□ No
(3)	Are	the lieutenants well-organized in their work?			☐ Yes	□No
	(a)	Do they maintain files to assist in evaluations?			☐ Yes	☐ No
	(b)	Do they plan and make effective use of time?			☐ Yes	□No
	(c)	Do they work closely with subordinates?			Yes	□No
	(d)	Do they foresee problems and plan for them?			☐ Yes	☐ No
	(e)	Do they have an "open door" policy that does not circum	ovent the sergeant's auth	nority?	☐ Yes	□No
ERGI	EAN'	TS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
				SUB-	✓ Yes	□No
(1)			n and ensure all have a	good understanding	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Do the sergeants maximize their on-the-road field super	vision time?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Do the sergeants properly apply management philosoph	ies and supervisory skill	s?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Do the sergeants promote a positive environment condusubordinates?	cive to counseling and r	notivating	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Do 1	the sergeants assist in the development of their subordin	ates?		√ Yes	□No
	(a)	After officers with supervisory potential are identified, wh	nat is done to develop th	at potential? These off	icers are tr	ained and
		utilized as Area Officers in Charge . Officers are provi	ded motivation and assi	stance throughout the p	romotiona	l process.
(3)	Are	the sergeants able to direct the activities of subordinates	to accomplish Area and	departmental goals?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Do the sergeants' actions show a willingness to become	involved?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Do the sergeants know when to act, when to delegate, a	nd when to refer to a su	perior?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Are	sergeants available at the beginning and at the end of sh	ift in the office, and in th	e field during shift?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	If on an alternate workweek, are the sergeants able to p	rovide adequate supervi	sory coverage? N/A	☐ Yes	□No
(5)	Is th	ere an established system for sergeants' ride-alongs?			Yes	□No
	Are (1) (2) (3) (4)	(a) (b) (c) (d) Are lieu (1) Are (2) Are (3) Are (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) ERGEAN Is the sunders (1) Doe of a (a) (b) (c) (2) Do (a) (3) Are (a) (b) (c) (4) Are (b) (4) Are	upward mobility? (a) How does the commander train the lieutenants for commander their respective operated. (d) Are the lieutenants participating in Headquarters career Are lieutenants given freedom to manage their respective operated. (1) Are the lieutenants developing managerial skills in subordinated in their work? (a) Do they maintain files to assist in evaluations? (b) Do they plan and make effective use of time? (c) Do they work closely with subordinates? (d) Do they foresee problems and plan for them? (e) Do they have an "open door" policy that does not circum sunderstood? (1) Does Area use the sergeant as part of the management tear of and agree on priorities? (a) Do the sergeants maximize their on-the-road field super (b) Do the sergeants properly apply management philosoph (c) Do the sergeants assist in the development of their subordinates? (2) Do the sergeants assist in the development of their subordinates? (a) After officers with supervisory potential are identified, where the sergeants able to direct the activities of subordinates (a) Do the sergeants how when to act, when to delegate, and alternate workweek, are the sergeants able to present the sergeants able to	upward mobility? (a) How does the commander train the lieutenants for command responsibility? (b) Are the lieutenants submitting completed staff work? (c) Are the lieutenants involved in coordination with other agencies in the criminal juctory of the lieutenants participating in Headquarters career development assignment are lieutenants given freedom to manage their respective operations? (1) Are the lieutenants effective supervisors? (2) Are the lieutenants developing managerial skills in subordinate supervisors? (3) Are the lieutenants well-organized in their work? (a) Do they maintain files to assist in evaluations? (b) Do they plan and make effective use of time? (c) Do they work closely with subordinates? (d) Do they foresee problems and plan for them? (e) Do they have an "open door" policy that does not circumvent the sergeant's authorizers are sergeant's role as an essential member of the command's management team well-understood? (1) Does Area use the sergeant as part of the management team and ensure all have a of and agree on priorities? (a) Do the sergeants maximize their on-the-road field supervision time? (b) Do the sergeants properly apply management philosophies and supervisory skill (c) Do the sergeants promote a positive environment conducive to counseling and may subordinates? (a) After officers with supervisory potential are identified, what is done to develop the utilized as Area Officers in Charge. Officers are provided motivation and assist and the sergeants able to direct the activities of subordinates to accomplish Area and (a) Do the sergeants abole to direct the activities of subordinates to accomplish Area and (a) Do the sergeants know when to act, when to delegate, and when to refer to a su (4) Are sergeants available at the beginning and at the end of shift in the office, and in the (a) If on an alternate workweek, are the sergeants able to provide adequate supervi	upward mobility? (a) How does the commander train the lieutenants for command responsibility? (b) Are the lieutenants submitting completed staff work? (c) Are the lieutenants involved in coordination with other agencies in the criminal justice system? (d) Are the lieutenants participating in Headquarters career development assignments? Are lieutenants given freedom to manage their respective operations? (1) Are the lieutenants developing managerial skills in subordinate supervisors? (2) Are the lieutenants well-organized in their work? (a) Do they maintain files to assist in evaluations? (b) Do they plan and make effective use of time? (c) Do they work closely with subordinates? (d) Do they foresee problems and plan for them? (e) Do they have an "open door" policy that does not circumvent the sergeant's authority? ERGEANTS [EVALUATED] ACTION REQUIRED No Is the sergeant's role as an essential member of the command's management team well-defined and understood? (1) Does Area use the sergeant as part of the management team and ensure all have a good understanding of and agree on priorities? (b) Do the sergeants maximize their on-the-road field supervision time? (c) Do the sergeants properly apply management philosophies and supervisory skills? (e) Do the sergeants assist in the development of their subordinates? (a) After officers with supervisory potential are identified, what is done to develop that potential? These off utilized as Area Officers in Charge. Officers are provided motivation and assistance throughout the p (3) Are the sergeants able to direct the activities of subordinates to accomplish Area and departmental goals? (b) Do the sergeants able to direct the activities of subordinates to accomplish Area and departmental goals? (b) Do the sergeants know when to act, when to delegate, and when to refer to a superior? (d) Are sergeants available at the beginning and at the end of shift in the office, and in the field during shift?	How does the commander train the lieutenants for command responsibility? (a) How does the commander train the lieutenants for command responsibility? (b) Are the lieutenants submitting completed staff work? Yes

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

CHP 4530	(Rev. 5-06) OPI 009		
	(a) Are sergeants conducting ride-alongs as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) How are ride-alongs documented? CHP 100 form		
(6)	Is there a written order addressing supervisory observation of court testimony and the courtroom demeanor of officers?	☐Yes	✓ No
	(a) How is courtroom observation documented? CHP 100 form		
	(b) Has courtroom procedures/testimony training been provided for officers?	✓ Yes	□No
(7)	What policy does Area have for review of reports? Area has a Collision review officer and sergeants review	all enfor	cement
	documents/reports. The Area commander reviews all fatal and major arrest reports.	-	
	(a) How often do sergeants review and, if necessary, discuss reports with officers? $Daily$.		
	(b) If special duty officers review reports, are deficient and/or superior reports brought to the attention of the supervisors?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Do supervisors utilize matrix reports as well as hands-on inspection of documents?	✓ Yes	□No
(8)	Do sergeants respond to incidents involving damage to state equipment or injury to personnel?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do they assist with felony arrests or respond to physical arrest incidents?	Yes	□No
	(b) Do they respond to specific types of accidents? (If yes, specify.)	✓ Yes	□No
	Major injury and fatal collisions, big rig involved collisions and collisions involving hazardous mate	erial spills	i.
	(c) What role do sergeants assume at accident scenes? Incident commander.		
	(d) Are sergeants aware of MAIT call-out criteria?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) How many times has a sergeant been "called-out" to an accident in the past year? Average 6 times per	sergeant p	er year.
(9)	Are daily briefings held for each shift?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are briefings interesting and meaningful, with the supervisor in control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) How are briefing items and attendance documented and filed for future reference? Briefing book log, C	CHP 160's	, and daily
	schedule and equipment log.		
	(c) How are special duty officers briefed? They participate in shift briefing and weekly meeting with special	al duty ser	geant.
(10)	What methods do sergeants use to plan their goals for the month (e.g., planning calendar)? Monthly/daily	planning o	calendars or
	computerized planning calendars.		
(11)	Do sergeants participate in Public Affairs activities?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Have they received public speaking training from their commander?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(12)	Do newly promoted or transferred sergeants receive proper orientation?	✓ Yes	□No
(13)	Do the sergeants have a good working knowledge of policies and procedures affecting their assignment?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **SUPERVISION AND TRAINING**

		(a)	How do sergeants keep current on additions or revisions	s to policy? Managem	ent Memorandums, MIS	, Email not	tifications,
			information brought forward from DACC's.				
		(b)	Are the sergeants knowledgeable about current topics saffirmative action, civil liability, etc.?	uch as collective barga	ining,	✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Do the sergeants expedite training/briefing of recent cha	anges for subordinates?		✓ Yes	□No
4.	OFFI	CERS		Yes Yes	No No	CORRECTED)
	a. Do	oes Ar	rea have a formal orientation training program?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Doe	es a supervisor oversee this program?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are	departmențal guidelines followed for field orientation trai	ning?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)) Are	Area field training officers (FTOs) departmentally qualifie	ed?		✓ Yes	□No
	b. Di	d Area	a adequately identify their needs when planning their train	ning program?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Has	an effective training program plan been developed?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does it reflect both current and future needs?			✓ Yes	☐ No
	(b) Is training scheduled far enough ahead to assure continuity, yet flexible enough for changing needs?						□No
		(c)	Are plans regularly updated?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Who	o is responsible for training? Training sergeant and office	cers certified in special	ized training programs.		
					-		
		(a)	Is this person effective?			√ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are guest speakers and other instructors regularly sched	duled?		✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are critiques used to ensure only the best presentations	are scheduled?		✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	How does Area identify personnel whose expertise may	qualify them as an inst	ructor? By choosing de	partmental	lly trained
			instructors or professionally certified instructors meeting	ng departmental or POS	ST requirements.		
	(3)	Wha	at methods are used by Area to establish training needs?	All departmentally of	ffered training is briefed	to solicit in	nterest.
		Offi	icers are encouraged to submit memorandums of interest	t for any future training	they desire/require to the	e Area trai	ining
		serg	geant.				
		(a)	Do training topics appear relevant?			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are training results objectively evaluated on a regular ba	sis?		✓ Yes	□No
	c. W	/ho is r	responsible for specialized training with the Area? Train	ing sergeant and office	rs certified in specialized	l training p	orograms.
					- 11		
	(1)	Are a	all officers proficient with cameras?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	If not, are enough trained to meet operational needs?			✓ Yes	☐ No
		(b)	ls refresher training provided periodically?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

	(c) Who reviews photographs when they are returned? O	fficer's that actua	lly make the photographs and	collision re	eview officer
		or report reviewing sergeant.				
	(0	d) Is a specific individual responsible for camera maintena	ince?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Is	s one specific person responsible for Defensive Driver Train	ning?		Yes	☑ No
	(;	Has Area complied with driver training requirements ou Safety Manual?	tlined in HPM 10.	6, Occupational	✓ Yes	∏No
-	(3) A	re there any special needs in the Area?			✓ Yes	□No
		a) If so, has any special training been provided in those ar	eas?		✓ Yes	□ No
		re all officers currently certified in CPR?			✓ Yes	□No
		a) Is annual training conducted on schedule?			✓ Yes	□ No
d.		e specific person responsible for training records?			✓ Yes	□ No
		s a training chart utilized to record all training conducted in	the Area?		Yes	☑ No
		a training chart is not used, what type of system is utilized		omputerized training records.		
	(3) A	re In-Service training records complete and current?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(a) Have officers new to the Area been added to the record	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4) A	re records of individual officers current?			✓ Yes	□No
5. N	INUNC	FORMED	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а.	What	special training has been planned for nonuniformed emplo	THE SECOND	D. Contents	1	
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
b.	Is ther	e a planned orientation for new employees?			✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1) Is	the departmental orientation guide for new employees bei	ng utilized?		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2) H	ave new employees reviewed the video, "Spirit of Excellen	ce"?		☐ Yes	☑ No
6. E\	/ALUA	TION PROCESS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а.	What	methods are utilized to assure sergeants have sufficient su	pervision time wi	th the officers they evaluate?	Command	er
	observ	vations and interaction, assures monthly sergeant scheduling	ng meets Area ne	eds.		
	(1	Are evaluation assignments equitable?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2	Are evaluations done on schedule?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3) How do lieutenants record their observations of the serg	eants' critical tas	k performance? CHP 112		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **SUPERVISION AND TRAINING**

b	. W	hat records do the supervisors keep on the employees they s	upervise?	Monthly and	yearly evaluations (CH	P 100's &	18's),
	ре	rsonnel files.					
	(1)	Are significant matters recorded and filed regularly to provide	de a basis	for evaluations	?	✓ Yes	□ No
		✓ Yes	□No				
	(2)	Do all documents and comments comply with the Peace Of	ficers' Bill	of Rights?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all supervisors contribute to the records?				✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are similar records kept of supervisor's efforts?				✓ Yes	□No
С	. Ar	e evaluations realistic, objective, and meaningful?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are evaluations consistent in the rating process?				✓ Yes	□No
**	(2)	Is there continuous and thorough documenting of performa	nce at all c	command levels	s?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do employees feel their evaluations assist them?				√ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are comments in the evaluation in keeping with their overal	l importan	ce? Yes			
	(5)	Is the performance objective monitored, with proper recogni	ition given	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Does the Area have a procedure to test the effectiveness of	f evaluatio	ns?		Yes	☑ No
	(7) Is the commander satisfied with the Area's evaluation process?						□No
	(8) Does the commander have a clear understanding of his/her role in the performance appraisal process?						
7. IN	NTER	IM REPORTS	Yes	D	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	e interim reports utilized as appropriate?			1.000	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do supervisors understand the procedures for issuing them	?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were all other appropriate supervisory techniques used with interim reporting?	nout positiv	ve results prior	to implementing	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are	: interim reports periodically updated and discussed with the	employee?	?		√ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Do interim reports discuss the problem(s) in specifics and e	stablish pe	erformance obje	ectives?	 ✓ Yes	 □ No
	(2)	Are definite methods outlined to achieve satisfactory perform	nance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are controls and follow-up present?				✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is the plan of action fully discussed with the employee?				✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	If satisfactory performance is not achieved within the specifi	ed time fra	ames, is further	corrective action		
		taken?	Terraniane		Legrou groungs	✓ Yes	□No
8. IN	CIDI	ENT REPORTS (CHP 2)	Yes	·	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	local controls over CHP 2s reasonable?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Who can issue them? Sergeants, only with commander app	roval.			œ	
	(2)	How are they filed? Personnel file					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

СНІ	9 453G (Re	7. 5-06) OPI 009				
	(3) Ar	e they available for supervisor's review?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4) WI	no assures a proper relationship in the recognition of comm	mendable and censurab	le incidents?	V Yes	□No
	o. Are inc	ident reports properly worded?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do	they state the subject in plain, concise language?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Wh	nen appropriate, do they set goals and provide meaningful	direction?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do	they accomplish their purpose?			✓ Yes	□No
(e Area have an alternative way to document good work a report?	nd minor deviations sup	plemental to the	✓ Yes	□No
9.	ATTITUDI	ES AND DISCIPLINE	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
á	a. How do	employees really feel about their work, their supervisors,	the role of traffic enforc	ement, etc.? Officers f	eel their w	ork is
	essenti	al and significantly contributes to the safety of the motori	ng public. They also fe	el their supervisors are r	equired to	assure policy
	compli	ance and goal attainment.				
	(1) Do	officers feel their work is a valuable contribution to the de	partmental operation?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are	there frustrations in their work?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	How can these frustrations be reduced? By allowing of	opportunities for officers	s to express opinions/co	ncerns and	assuring
		their concerns are considered by the command staff.				
	(3) Are	employees familiar with recent changes in policy or proce	edure?		✓ Yes	□No
		the nonuniformed employees feel they are allowed to par uniformed employees?	ticipate in Area functions	s equally with	✓ Yes	□No
	(5) Do	all employees get along well?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Are	there problem individuals?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are supervisors aware of these individuals, and are they	taking steps to change	their behavior?	✓ Yes	□No
b	. Is there	a positive motivation force present in the squad?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is a	climate created so that individuals want to do a good job	?		✓ Yes	□No
С	. Are the	grievance and complaint procedures understood by all su	pervisors and employee	s?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Hov	v do supervisors feel about the procedures? Overall, pro	ocedure are aduqate, hov	vever, cumbersome in n	nany aspec	ets.
	(2) If th	ere has been a recent case filed, was it handled success	fully?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	If no, did it properly proceed to the next appropriate level	1?		☐ Yes	□No
	(3) Are with	all grievances and complaints relating to contract interpre provisions contained in HPM 9.1, Employee Relations M	etations retained in the Aanual?	Area in accordance	√ Yes	□No

COMMONANT RISDECTION DUCKEDAM	0.00n = 0.10		
COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM	Inspected by:		
EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT	Sergeant Holcomb		
Page 1 of 3			

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Clear Lake	Northern	7
Inspected by:	Date:4/6/2010	
Sergeant Hold	1	

. age . e. e					
INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspecti docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pr		
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level X Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 6 hrs.		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included	
Follow-up Required: Yes X No Chapter Inspection: Supervis	Forwa Due D	ate:			
training sergeant uses this file This file is always open to app	ng file o as a g ly for s	containing individu guide to solicit office specific training nee	al officer reques ers for announc	sted training desires. The Area ed departmental training classes.	
Command Suggestions for S	tatewio	de Improvement:			

ns	pector	's F	ind	inas:

The Area commander, in collaboration with Area sergeants, identifies officers with supervisory potential and provides development through training in management philosophies and supervisory skills, ultimately using these officers as Officers in Charge. These identified officers are provided with motivation and assistance throughout the promotional process. Area succeeded in placing two officers on the 2010 sergeant's promotional list.

All Area driving under the influence collision reports are reviewed by Area sergeants.

The Area commander is the final review for all fatal and major incident reports/investigations.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Clear Lake	Northern	7	
Inspected by:		Date:4/6/2010	
Sergeant Holcomb			

Area utilizes a six month Area Collision Review analysis to proactively modify shift and beat deployments to meet ever-changing needs.

Area currently has one officer on Interim Reporting. The Interim Reporting package is complete, pre- implementation supervisory techniques were used without positive results, the package discusses problem specifics and establish performance objectives with methods to achieve satisfactory performance. Controls and follow-up are present in the package.					
Commander's Response: Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)					
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)					

	THE PROPERTY OF STREET	
Required Action		
The tax of the first of the fir		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Clear Lake	Northern	7
Inspected by:		Date:4/6/2010
Sergeant Holcomb		

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	m went live	4-6-10
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	NA NUL	4/6/10
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE /
A employee	Stanhan Koll	4/12/12
Concur	Stappen Del	7/13/10